### Chaotic dark matter in the Solar system and galaxies

Guillaume Rollin<sup>1</sup>, José Lages<sup>1</sup>, Dima Shepelyansky<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Equipe PhAs - Physique Théorique et Astrophysique Institut UTINAM - UMR CNRS 6213 Observatoire de Besançon Université de Franche-Comté, France

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Physique Théorique - UMR CNRS 5152 Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France

Workshop « Dynamics & Kinetic theory of self-gravitating systems », GRAVASCO, Institut Henri Poincaré, November 2013

References :

J. L., D. Shepelyansky, Dark matter chaos in the Solar system, MNRASL 430, L25-L29 (2013), arXiv:1211.0903 G. Rollin, J. L., D. Shepelyansky, Chaotic enhancement of dark matter density in binary systems and galaxies, to be submitted



# Dark matter capture – Three-body problem



Possible DMP capture due to Jupiter rotation around the Sun



 $m_{\rm DMP} \ll m_{2_{\rm H}} \ll m_{\odot}$ 



Newton's equations

$$m_{\text{DMP}} \ll m_{2_{+}} \ll m_{\odot}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1 - m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right) + \frac{m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right)$$
  

$$G = 1, \quad m_{2_{+}} + m_{\odot} = 1, \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{2_{+}}\| \simeq 13 \text{km.s}^{-1} = 1, \quad \|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}\| = 1$$



Newton's equations

$$m_{\text{DMP}} \ll m_{2} \ll m_{\odot}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1 - m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right) + \frac{m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right)$$
  

$$G = 1, \quad m_{2_{+}} + m_{\odot} = 1, \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{2_{+}}\| \simeq 13 \text{km.s}^{-1} = 1, \quad \|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}\| = 1$$

Energy change after a passage at perihelion (in absence of close encounter)

$$F \sim \frac{m_{2+}}{m_{\odot}} \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{2+}\|^2 \simeq 10^{-3}$$
 (Petrosky 86')



Newton's equations

$$m_{\text{DMP}} \ll m_2 \ll m_{\odot}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1 - m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right) + \frac{m_{2_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right)$$
  

$$G = 1, \quad m_{2_{+}} + m_{\odot} = 1, \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{2_{+}}\| \simeq 13 \text{ km. s}^{-1} = 1, \quad \|\mathbf{r}_{2_{+}}\| = 1$$

Energy change after a passage at perihelion (in absence of close encounter)

$$F \sim \frac{m_{2_{+}}}{m_{\odot}} \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{2_{+}}\|^{2} \simeq 10^{-3}$$
 (Petrosky 86')

Assuming a Maxwellian distribution of Galactic DMP velocities

$$f(v)dv \sim v^2 \exp\left(-3v^2/2u^2\right) dv$$

with  $u \simeq 220$  km.s<sup>-1</sup>  $\sim 17$  (mean DMP velocity)



Newton's equations

$$m_{\rm DMP} \ll m_{2_{
m H}} \ll m_{\odot}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1 - m_{\gamma_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\odot}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right) + \frac{m_{\gamma_{+}}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{\gamma_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\|^{3}} \left(\mathbf{r}_{\gamma_{+}}(t) - \mathbf{r}\right)$$
  

$$G = 1, \quad m_{\gamma_{+}} + m_{\odot} = 1, \quad \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\gamma_{+}}\| \simeq 13 \text{km.s}^{-1} = 1, \quad \|\mathbf{r}_{\gamma_{+}}\| = 1$$

Energy change after a passage at perihelion (in absence of close encounter)

$$F \sim \frac{m_{\gamma_{\pm}}}{m_{\odot}} \|\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\gamma_{\pm}}\|^2 \simeq 10^{-3}$$
 (Petrosky 86')

Assuming a Maxwellian distribution of Galactic DMP velocities

$$f(v)dv \sim v^2 \exp\left(-3v^2/2u^2\right) dv$$

with  $u \simeq 220$  km.s<sup>-1</sup>  $\sim 17$  (mean DMP velocity)

# As $F \ll u^2,$ not many candidates for capture among Galactic DMPs

Most of the capturable DMPs have close to parabolic approaching trajectories ( $E \sim 0$ )

Direct simulation of Newton's equations is difficult : very elongated ellipses, not many particles can be simulated, CPU time consuming (Peter 09')



## Dark matter capture - Kicked model



*x* : Jupiter's phase when DMP at perihelion ( $x = \varphi/2\pi \mod 1$ ) *w* : DMP energy ( $w = -2E/m_{\text{DMP}}$ )



## Dark matter capture - Kicked model



*x* : Jupiter's phase when DMP at perihelion ( $x = \varphi/2\pi \mod 1$ ) *w* : DMP energy ( $w = -2E/m_{\text{DMP}}$ )

Symplectic Kepler-Petrosky map

$$\bar{x} = x + \bar{w}^{-3/2}$$
 third Kepler's law  
 $\bar{w} = w + F(x)$  energy change after a kick



## Dark matter capture – Kicked model



*x* : Jupiter's phase when DMP at perihelion ( $x = \varphi/2\pi \mod 1$ ) *w* : DMP energy ( $w = -2E/m_{\text{DMP}}$ )

#### Symplectic Kepler-Petrosky map

 $\overline{x} = x + \overline{w}^{-3/2}$  third Kepler's law  $\overline{w} = w + F(x)$  energy change after a kick

Map already used in the study of :

- Cometary clouds in Solar systems (Petrosky 86')
- Chaotic dynamics of Halley's comet (Chirikov, Vecheslavov 89')
- Microwave ionization of hydrogen atoms (see e.g. Shepelyansky, scholarpedia)

Advantage : providing the fact the kick function F(x) is known the dynamics of a huge number of particles can simulated.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

# Dark matter capture - Dark map

#### Kick function determination

 $F(x) \to F_{\ell,\theta,\phi}(x) \sim F_{q,\theta,\phi}(x)$ 

The kick function is different for each approaching configuration  $(\ell, \theta, \phi) \sim (q, \theta, \phi)$ For close to 1 eccentricities the perihelion is such as

$$q\sim \frac{\ell^2}{2}$$





## Dark matter capture - Dark map

#### Kick function determination

$$F(x) \to F_{\ell,\theta,\phi}(x) \sim F_{q,\theta,\phi}(x)$$

The kick function is different for each approaching configuration  $(\ell,\theta,\phi)\sim(q,\theta,\phi)$ 

For close to 1 eccentricities the perihelion is such as

$$q \sim \frac{\ell^2}{2}$$

For each approaching configuration  $(q,\theta,\phi),$  the integration of the three-body problem gives the kick function.







### Dark matter capture – Dark map

Kick function determination

 $F(x) \to F_{\ell,\theta,\phi}(x) \sim F_{q,\theta,\phi}(x)$ 

The kick function is different for each approaching configuration  $(\ell,\theta,\phi)\sim(q,\theta,\phi)$ 

For close to 1 eccentricities the perihelion is such as

$$q \sim \frac{\ell^2}{2}$$

For each approaching configuration  $(q, \theta, \phi)$ , the integration of the three-body problem gives the kick function.



Exponential decay in agreement with Petrosky 86'



a : Halley's comet b :  $q = 1.5, n = 4, \theta = 0.7, \phi = 0$ c :  $q = 0.5, n = 4, \theta = 0., \phi = \pi/2$ 



## Dark matter - Capture cross section



 $\sigma/\sigma_p\simeq\pi rac{m_{\odot}}{m_{
m Q_{
m f}}}rac{w_{cap}}{w}$  in agreement with Khriplovich & Shepelyansky 09'

- Predominance of long range interaction as suggested by Peter 09'
- Very small contribution from close encounters invalidating previous numerical results (Gould & Alam 01' and Lundberg & Edsjö 04')

A B > A B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

# Dark matter evolution – Chaotic dynamics

Simulation of the (isotropic) injection, the capture and the escape of DMPs during the whole lifetime of the Solar system.

Injection of  $N_{tot} \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{14}$  DMPs with energy |w| in the range  $[0, \infty]$  with  $N_H = 4 \times 10^9$  DMPs in the Halley's comet energy interval  $[0, w_H]$ .



Equilibrium reached after a time  $t_d \sim 10^7$ yr similar to the diffusive escape time scale of the Halley's comet (Chirikov & Vecheslavov 89')  $\longrightarrow$  Equilibrium energy distribution  $\rho(w)$ 

The dynamics of dark matter particles in the Solar system is essentially chaotic

## Back to real space - Density distribution of captured DMPs



Nowadays equilibrium density distribution (  $t_S = 4.5 \times 10^9 \text{yr}$  )

► The profile of the radial density  $\rho(r) \propto dN/dr$  is similar to those observed for galaxies where DMP mass is dominant. Indeed  $\rho(r)$  is almost flat (increases slowly) right after Jupiter orbit  $(r = 1) \rightarrow$  according to virial theorem the circular velocity of visible matter is consequently constant as observed e.g. in Rubin 80' More precisely,  $v_m \propto r^{0.25}$  (Dark map) quite close to  $v_m \propto r^{0.35}$  (Rubin 80')



# Back to real space - Density distribution of captured DMPs



Image: Image:

'U FC

#### Surface density

 $ho_s(z,R) \propto dN/dz dR$  where  $R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ 

#### Volume density

 $\rho_v(x, y, z) \propto dN/dxdydz$ 



The total mass of DMP passed through the System solar during its lifetime  $t_S = 4.5 \times 10^9 \text{yr}$  is

$$M_{\text{tot}} = \rho_g t_S \int_0^\infty dv \, v f(v) \sigma(v) \approx 35 \rho_g t_S G \left\| \mathbf{r}_{2_{\text{F}}} \right\| M_{\odot} / u \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \sim M_{\text{Q}}$$



The total mass of DMP passed through the System solar during its lifetime  $t_S = 4.5 \times 10^9$  yr is

$$M_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{gts} \int_{0}^{\infty} dv v f(v) \sigma(v) \approx 35 \rho_{gts} G \left\| \mathbf{r}_{4} \right\| M_{\odot} / u \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \sim M_{Q}$$

At time t<sub>S</sub> the mass of captured DMPs in the Solar system is

 $\begin{array}{ll} M_{AC}\approx\eta_{AC}M_{tot}\approx2\times10^{-15}M_{\odot} & \mbox{ within } r<0.5\mbox{ distance}_{\mbox{Sun-}\alpha\mbox{Centauri}}\\ M_{100au}\approx\eta_{100au}M_{tot}\approx1.3\times10^{-17}M_{\odot} & \mbox{ within } r<100au \end{array}$ 



The total mass of DMP passed through the System solar during its lifetime  $t_S = 4.5 \times 10^9$  yr is

$$M_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{g} t_{S} \int_{0}^{\infty} dv v f(v) \sigma(v) \approx 35 \rho_{g} t_{S} G \left\| \mathbf{r}_{4} \right\| M_{\odot} / u \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \sim M_{Q}$$

At time t<sub>S</sub> the mass of captured DMPs in the Solar system is

 $\begin{array}{ll} M_{AC} \approx \eta_{AC} M_{tot} \approx 2 \times 10^{-15} M_{\odot} & \mbox{within } r < 0.5 \mbox{ distance}_{\mbox{Sun-}\alpha\mbox{Centauri}} \\ M_{100au} \approx \eta_{100au} M_{tot} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-17} M_{\odot} & \mbox{within } r < 100 \mbox{au} \end{array}$ 

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Neptune orbit radius is

$$M_{\rm T} \approx \eta_{\rm T} M_{AC} \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-18} M_{\odot} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{15} {\rm g}$$

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Jupiter orbit radius is

$$M_{2} \approx \eta_{4} M_{AC} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{-20} M_{\odot} \approx 10^{14} \text{g}$$



The total mass of DMP passed through the System solar during its lifetime  $t_S = 4.5 \times 10^9 \text{yr}$  is

$$M_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{gts} \int_{0}^{\infty} dv v f(v) \sigma(v) \approx 35 \rho_{gts} G \left\| \mathbf{r}_{\uparrow_{\pm}} \right\| M_{\odot} / u \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \sim M_{\Box}$$

At time t<sub>S</sub> the mass of captured DMPs in the Solar system is

$$\begin{array}{ll} M_{AC} \approx \eta_{AC} M_{iot} \approx 2 \times 10^{-15} M_{\odot} & \mbox{within } r < 0.5 \mbox{ distance}_{Sun-\alpha} \mbox{Centauri} \\ M_{100au} \approx \eta_{100au} M_{iot} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-17} M_{\odot} & \mbox{within } r < 100 \mbox{au} \end{array}$$

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Neptune orbit radius is

$$M_{\rm c} \approx \eta_{\rm c} M_{AC} \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-18} M_{\odot} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{15} {
m g}$$

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Jupiter orbit radius is

$$M_{2_{\rm H}} \approx \eta_{2_{\rm H}} M_{AC} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{-20} M_{\odot} \approx 10^{14} {\rm g}$$

The average volume density of captured dark matter inside the Jupiter orbit sphere is

$$\rho_{\gamma_{+}} = \frac{3M_{\gamma_{+}}}{4\pi r_{\gamma_{+}}^3} \approx 5 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{g/cm^3} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \rho_g \ll \rho_g \text{ (Galactic DMP density)}$$



The total mass of DMP passed through the System solar during its lifetime  $t_S = 4.5 \times 10^9 \text{yr}$  is

$$M_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{gts} \int_{0}^{\infty} dv v f(v) \sigma(v) \approx 35 \rho_{gts} G \left\| \mathbf{r}_{\uparrow_{\pm}} \right\| M_{\odot} / u \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \sim M_{\Box}$$

At time t<sub>S</sub> the mass of captured DMPs in the Solar system is

$$\begin{array}{ll} M_{AC} \approx \eta_{AC} M_{iot} \approx 2 \times 10^{-15} M_{\odot} & \mbox{within } r < 0.5 \mbox{ distance}_{Sun-\alpha} \mbox{Centauri} \\ M_{100au} \approx \eta_{100au} M_{iot} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-17} M_{\odot} & \mbox{within } r < 100 \mbox{au} \end{array}$$

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Neptune orbit radius is

$$M_{\rm c} \approx \eta_{\rm c} M_{AC} \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-18} M_{\odot} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{15} {
m g}$$

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Jupiter orbit radius is

$$M_{\gamma_{\pm}} \approx \eta_{\gamma_{\pm}} M_{AC} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{-20} M_{\odot} \approx 10^{14} \mathrm{g}$$

The average volume density of captured dark matter inside the Jupiter orbit sphere is

$$\rho_{\gamma_{+}} = \frac{3M_{\gamma_{+}}}{4\pi r_{\gamma_{+}}^3} \approx 5 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{g/cm^3} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \rho_g \ll \rho_g \text{ (Galactic DMP density)}$$

# Globally, not much dark matter captured by the Solar system, but ...



The total mass of DMP passed through the System solar during its lifetime  $t_S = 4.5 \times 10^9$  yr is

$$M_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{g} t_{S} \int_{0}^{\infty} dv v f(v) \sigma(v) \approx 35 \rho_{g} t_{S} G \left\| \mathbf{r}_{\uparrow} \right\| M_{\odot} / u \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \sim M_{\Box}$$

At time t<sub>S</sub> the mass of captured DMPs in the Solar system is

 $\begin{array}{ll} M_{AC}\approx\eta_{AC}M_{tot}\approx2\times10^{-15}M_{\odot} & \mbox{ within } r<0.5\mbox{ distance}_{\mbox{Sun-}\alpha\mbox{Centauri}}\\ M_{100au}\approx\eta_{100au}M_{tot}\approx1.3\times10^{-17}M_{\odot} & \mbox{ within } r<100au \end{array}$ 

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Neptune orbit radius is

$$M_{\rm c} \approx \eta_{\rm c} M_{AC} \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-18} M_{\odot} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{15} {
m g}$$

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Jupiter orbit radius is

$$M_{2} \approx \eta_{4} M_{AC} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{-20} M_{\odot} \approx 10^{14} \mathrm{g}$$

The average volume density of captured dark matter inside the Jupiter orbit sphere is

$$\rho_{\gamma_{+}} = \frac{3M_{\gamma_{+}}}{4\pi r_{\gamma_{+}}^3} \approx 5 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{g/cm^3} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \rho_g \ll \rho_g \text{ (Galactic DMP density)}$$

# Globally, not much dark matter captured by the Solar system, but ...

Let's compare to the capturable DMP density  $f_{1} \sqrt{WH}$ 

$$\rho_{gH} = \rho_g \int_0^{\sqrt{nH}} dv \, v f(v) \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-32} \text{g/cm}^3$$

Huge chaotic enhancement  $\zeta = \rho_{1+}/\rho_{gH} \approx 4 \times 10^3$  of the density of actually capturable DMPs.



The total mass of DMP passed through the System solar during its lifetime  $t_S = 4.5 \times 10^9$  yr is

$$M_{\text{tot}} = \rho_g t_S \int_0^\infty dv \, v f(v) \sigma(v) \approx 35 \rho_g t_S G \left\| \mathbf{r}_{\hat{\mathbf{r}}} \right\| M_{\odot} / u \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \sim M_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

At time t<sub>S</sub> the mass of captured DMPs in the Solar system is

 $\begin{array}{ll} M_{AC}\approx\eta_{AC}M_{tot}\approx2\times10^{-15}M_{\odot} & \mbox{ within } r<0.5\mbox{ distance}_{{\rm Sun-}\alpha{\rm Centauri}}\\ M_{100{\rm au}}\approx\eta_{100{\rm au}}M_{tot}\approx1.3\times10^{-17}M_{\odot} & \mbox{ within } r<100{\rm au} \end{array}$ 

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Neptune orbit radius is

$$M_{\rm c} \approx \eta_{\rm c} M_{AC} \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-18} M_{\odot} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{15} {
m g}$$

The captured DMP mass in the volume of the Jupiter orbit radius is

$$M_{\gamma_{+}} \approx \eta_{\gamma_{+}} M_{AC} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{-20} M_{\odot} \approx 10^{14} \mathrm{g}$$

The average volume density of captured dark matter inside the Jupiter orbit sphere is

$$\rho_{\gamma_{+}} = \frac{3M_{\gamma_{+}}}{4\pi r_{\gamma_{+}}^3} \approx 5 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{g/cm^3} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \rho_g \ll \rho_g \text{ (Galactic DMP density)}$$

# Globally, not much dark matter captured by the Solar system, but ...

Let's compare to the capturable DMP density  $\rho_{gH} = \rho_g \int_0^{\sqrt{w_H}} dv v f(v) \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-32} \text{g/cm}^3$ 

Huge chaotic enhancement  $\zeta = \rho_{\uparrow} / \rho_{gH} \approx 4 \times 10^3$  of the density of actually capturable DMPs.

Chaotic dark matter in the Solar system and galaxies, J. Lages, GRAVASCO IHP, Nov 2013

The long range interaction capture mechanism is very efficient for binary systems (1+2) with  $m_1 \gg m_2$ 



 $w_{cap} \sim w_{H} \sim 0.005$ u=220km/s















• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

ъ



(日)

ъ

# **Thank You!**

