



# MODELING THE OBLIQUITY OF MERCURY

Benoît Noyelles and Christoph Lhotka benoit.noyelles@fundp.ac.be,clhotka@fundp.ac.be

NAmur Center for Complex Systems (NAXYS), Department of Mathematics, University of Namur, Belgium



Measuring the obliquity of Mercury is crucial to determine its internal structure, in particular its polar inertial momentum C. The well-known Peale's experiment [8] tells us that this quantity, combined with the measurements of the longitudinal librations, gives the size of Mercury's molten core. The inversion of the obliquity is classically made thanks to Peale's formula. This formula considers the gravity coefficients  $J_2$  and  $C_{22}$ , and assumes the inclination of Mercury and its node velocity to be constant with respect to a Laplace Plane that must be carefully determined.

We here propose an alternative formula, that is Laplace-Plane free, and considers additional coefficients like  $J_4$ , and secular variations of Mercury's dynamics. We are in good agreement with Peale's formula. This allows us to estimate the accuracy of the theoretical modelization of Mercury's obliquity, and to quantify the influence of usually neglected effects.

In our study we investigated the influence of different effects on the obliquity

#### The problem

The obliquity of Mercury is usually inverted with Peale's formula [7]:

$$\epsilon = -\frac{c\dot{\Omega}\sin\iota}{c\dot{\Omega}\cos\iota + 2n\left(\frac{7}{2}e - \frac{123}{16}e^3\right)C_{22} - n\left(1 - e^2\right)^{-3/2}C_{20}}$$

Problems:

- The orbital elements  $\iota$ ,  $\Omega$ , e are not constant (not enough accurate for inversion of s/c measurements)
- Defined with respect to a Laplace Plane whose definition is not robust
- Other harmonics are now known (Tab.1)

```
TABLE 1: The gravity field of Mercury (Smith et al. 2012 [9]).

\begin{array}{c|c}
\hline C_{20} = -J_2 & (-5.031 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-5} \\
\hline C_{22} & (8.088 \pm 0.065) \times 10^{-6} \\
\hline C_{30} = -J_3 & (-1.188 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-5} \\
\hline C_{40} = -J_4 & (-1.95 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-5}
\end{array}
```

So we propose to revisit the determination of this obliquity in trying to consider new effects, like time, tides and the gravity field of Mercury.

A new analytical formula

The Fig.1 represents the time evolution of the obliquity of Mercury, we can see free librations that are expected to be damped, and shorter period variations. Moreover, we see a slope that Peale's formula does not predict.

Results



FIGURE 1: Long-term evolution of Mercury's obliquity.

We also use our formula to predict the influence of tides, using (see e.g. [10])

$$C_{20}(t) = C_{20}^{static} - \frac{3}{2}k_2\frac{M}{m}\left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^3 e\cos\mathcal{M}$$

of Mercury, i.e. their influence on the determination of the polar moment of inertia C. We summarize the results in Tab.2.

TABLE 2: Influence on the mean obliquity of usually neglected effects.

$C_{30}$	$\approx 250 \text{ mas}$
Tides	$\approx 100 \text{ mas}$
Polar motion	$\approx 80 \text{ mas } [4]$
Short-period librations	< 20 mas [1]
Secular drift	$\approx 10$ mas over 20 years
$C_{40}$	negligible

## Inverting the observed obliquity

From Earth-based radar observations of the spin-pole direction of Mercury (Margot et al. [2, 3]) we see that the planet is actually at the Cassini state 1, and that  $\epsilon = 2.04 \pm 0.08$  arcmin. Using Peale's formula, Margot et al. [3] get  $c = 0.346 \pm 0.014$ .

## TABLE 3: Inverting Margot's obliquity.

Formula	$c = C/(mR^2)$

To validate our numerical approach we rederive a variant of Peale's formula but including the higher order harmonics  $C_{30}$  and  $C_{40}$ . In this simplified approach we:

1. neglect the planetary perturbations,

2. neglect the influence of the rotation on the orbit,

3. neglect the polar motion of Mercury,

4. express the resonant argument (Mercury is in 3:2 spin-orbit resonance),

5. average over the short periods of the system,

6. determine the dynamical equilibrium,

and we get the following formula, consistent with Peale's:

$\epsilon = \left(1 + \frac{2\dot{\Omega}}{3n}\cos(i)\right) \times$	
$c\dot{\Omega}/n\sin(i)$	
$\overline{2C_{22} \times \frac{7}{2}e - C_{20}\left(1 + \frac{3}{2}e^2\right) + C_{40}\left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^2 \left(\frac{5}{2} + \frac{25}{2}e^2\right) - \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{\dot{\Omega}}{n}\right)^2 c\sin(i)^2}.$	
A numerical formula	
A numerical formula	

trigonometric series, obtained after fit on real ephemerides.

 $C_{22}(t) = C_{22}^{static} + \frac{3}{4}k_2\frac{M}{m}\left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^3 e\cos\mathcal{M},$  $C(t) = C^{static} - \frac{3}{2}k_2 \frac{M}{m} \left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^3 em R^2 \cos \mathcal{M},$ 

and we get short-period variations of  $\approx 100$  mas (Fig.2).

2.0662 2.066 2.0658 2.0656 2.0654 2.0652 2.065 2.0648 2.0646 2.0644 2.0642 2000 2002 2004 2006 2008 2010 2012 2014 2016 2018 2020 Date

FIGURE 2: Short-term evolution of the obliquity, in considering tides. The slope is due to the secular variations of the orbital elements.

Using our initial conditions obtained with our numerical formulae to integrate the full equations of the problem shows a small dependency on  $J_3 = -C_{30}$  (Fig.3).

Peale's	$0.346 \pm 0.014$
Analytical	$0.34621 \pm 0.01358$
Numerical	$0.34576 \pm 0.01349$
Analytical $+J_3$	$0.34550 \pm 0.01357$
Numerical $+J_3$	$0.34506 \pm 0.01348$

## We converge!

*Acknowledgements* This research used resources of the Interuniversity Scientific Computing Facility located at the University of Namur, Belgium, which is supported by the F.R.S.-FNRS under convention No. 2.4617.07. BN is F.R.S.-FNRS post-doctoral research fellow and CL benefited from the financial support of the contract Prodex C90253 "ROMEO" from BELSPO.

#### Further reading

This study is extensively detailed in (Noyelles & Lhotka 2013 [6]).

# References

[1] Dufey J. et al., 2009, *Latitudinal librations of Mercury with a fluid core*, Icarus, 203, 1-12

- [2] Margot J.-L. et al., 2007, *Large libration of Mercury reveals a molten core*, Science, 316, 710-714
- [3] Margot J.-L. et al., 2012, Mercury's moment of inertia from spin and

A numerical fit of long-term simulations of the rotation of Mercury allows us to write



where K is the obliquity with respect to the ecliptic, I the inclination, and  $\sigma_3$  the resonant argument associated with the precessional motion, often assumed to be null. From these quantities the instantaneous obliquity  $\epsilon(t)$  can be straightforwardly derived.



gravity data, JGR, 117, E00L09

[4] Noyelles B., Dufey J. & Lemaître A., 2010, Core-mantle interactions for Mercury, MNRAS, 407, 479-496

[5] Noyelles B. & D'Hoedt S., 2012, *Modeling the obliquity of Mercury*, Planetary and Space Science, 60, 274-286

[6] Noyelles B. & Lhotka C., 2013, *The influence of time, shape and tides on the obliquity of Mercury*, submitted

[7] Peale S.J., 1981, *Measurement accuracies required for the determination* of a mercurian liquid core, Icarus, 48, 143-145

[8] Peale S.J. et al., 2002, *A procedure for determining the nature of Mercury's core*, Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 37, 1269-1283

[9] Smith D.E. et al., 2012, *Gravity field and internal structure of Mercury from MESSENGER*, Science, 336, 214-217

[10] Van Hoolst T. et al., 2008, *The librations, shape, and icy shell of Europa*, Icarus, 195, 386-399